

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/827,563	04/06/2001	Marion Calmer	P3098	6406		
7.	590 12/16/2003	EXAMINER				
•	amow & Katz, Ltd.	MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT				
47th Floor Two Prudential	l Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 60601			3671			
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/200	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati	n N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/827,56		CALMER, MARION					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	•		Nathan S N	Aammon	3671				
	he MAILING DATE f this commu	nication ann				dress			
Period for R		moddon app	04.0 00		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the perical - If NO perical - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN s of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comod for reply specified above is less than thirty od for reply is specified above, the maximum reply within the set or extended period for repreceived by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. Ins of 37 CFR 1.13 Immunication. (30) days, a reply Statutory period w ly will, by statute,	66(a). In no ever within the statu ill apply and will cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>20 Ju</u>	ne 2003.						
2a) Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)∐ Sir clo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	☑ Claim(s) <u>16-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>16-24</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>25, 26</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· —	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Apı	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)	knowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati the attached detailed Office acti nowledgment is made of a claim a specific reference was include	y documents y documents s of the priori onal Bureau on for a list of for domestic	s have beer s have beer ity docume (PCT Rule of the certific priority un	n received. n received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)). led copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	on No Id in this National d. (to a provisional	Stage I application)			
37 C a)	37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 4) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment/c\									
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(: atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/827,563

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The word "invention" in line 7 should be replaced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rayfield (U.S. Patent 5,784,869).

Application/Control Number: 09/827,563

Art Unit: 3671

The Rayfield '869 patent discloses an arrangement of functional elements in a row crop harvester attachment with a mobile threshing unit. The arrangement comprises the following functional elements: A row crop harvester (3) having a main frame attachment mounted to a mobile harvesting unit (1), a first conveyor system (12) in the row crop harvester including a power source connected to a plurality of row units (5) for removing grain from the stalks and conveying the material including the grain up a first inclined plane to exits from the first conveyor system, and a second conveyor system (6) at right angles to the first conveyor system and including a power source. The second conveyor system (an auger having an auger trough) conveys the material from the exits of the first conveyor system to an area at the center of the plurality of conveyor units for exit from the second conveyor system. An open area (11) is connected by an inclined plane between the exit of the second conveying system to the entrance of the mobile threshing unit. A third conveying system (4) includes a power source in the mobile threshing unit for retrieving material and delivering the material to the thresher mechanism. The plate (examiner's ref. D –see attached copies of. Fig. 3 and 4) reduces the curvature of the auger trough from the midpoint of the auger trough through the exit of the second conveyor system. The height of the entrance of the third conveyor system is reduced with respect to the exit of the second conveyor system by adjusting the second conveyor system by slots B.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 16-24 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

When examining together Figs. 3 and 4 of Rayfield, it can be seen that the plate (D) reduces the curvature of the exit from the auger as compared with the auger portions on the left and right side of the feeder house openings. Applicant admits that slots B can be used to change the angle of the header with respect to the feeder house. See page 7 of Applicant's arguments. Applicant argues that this change of angle would not be done unless the operator of the Rayfield device was changing the size of the tires. See page 8. Applicant's arguments are pertinent, but not persuasive. The header can be adjusted with respect to the feeder house whether the tire size is changed or not.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Mammen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5959. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at (703) 308-3870. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1113.

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

NSM 12/12/03

Nathan S. Mammen